

Non-enlargeable operators and self-cancelling operators

B. F. Svaiter* [†]

May 25, 2010

Abstract

The ε -enlargement of a maximal monotone operator is a construct similar to the Brøndsted and Rockafellar ε -subdifferential enlargement of the subdifferential. Like the ε -subdifferential, the ε -enlargement of a maximal monotone operator has practical and theoretical applications.

In a recent paper in Journal of Convex Analysis Burachik and Iusem studied conditions under which a maximal monotone operator is non-enlargeable, that is, its ε -enlargement coincides with the operator. Burachik and Iusem studied these non-enlargeable operators in reflexive Banach spaces, assuming the interior of the domain of the operator to be nonempty. In the present work, we remove the assumption on the domain of non-enlargeable operators and also present partial results for the non-reflexive case.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H05, 49J52, 47N10.

Key words: Maximal monotone operators, enlargements, Banach spaces.

1 Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space. We use the notation X^* for the topological dual of X and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the duality product in $X \times X^*$:

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = x^*(x).$$

Whenever necessary, we will identify X with its image under the canonical injection of X into X^{**} . A point-to-set operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is a relation on $X \times X^*$:

$$T \subset X \times X^*$$

*IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (benar@impa.br)

[†]Partially supported by CNPq grants 300755/2005-8, 475647/2006-8 and by PRONEX-Optimization

and $x^* \in T(x)$ means $(x, x^*) \in T$. From now on, for $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, we define

$$-T = \{(x, -x^*) \mid (x, x^*) \in T\}.$$

so that $-T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, $(-T)(x) = -(T(x))$. An operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is *monotone* if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in T.$$

and it is *maximal monotone* if it is monotone and maximal (with respect to the inclusion) in the family of monotone operators of X to X^* . Maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces arise, for example, in the study of PDE's, equilibrium problems and calculus of variations.

The *conjugate* of $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is $f^* : X^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$f^*(x^*) = \sup_{x \in X} \langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x).$$

and the *effective domain* of f is

$$ed(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < \infty\}.$$

The subdifferential of f is the point to set operator $\partial f : X \rightrightarrows X^*$,

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \mid f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle y - x, x^* \rangle, \forall y\}.$$

In a paper where many fundamental techniques were introduced, Rockafellar proved that the subdifferential of a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function in a Banach space is maximal monotone [27]. Rockafellar's proof relied on the *ε -subdifferential*, a concept introduced previously by Brøndsted and Rockafellar [3], which is defined as follows, for $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\partial_\varepsilon f(x) = \{x^* \mid f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle y - x, x^* \rangle - \varepsilon, \forall y\}.$$

Note that $\partial f \subset \partial_\varepsilon f$, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, and the inclusion may be proper if $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, the ε -subdifferential is an "enlargement" of the subdifferential. It is easy to check that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the ε -subdifferential of f is non-empty at any point where f is finite. One of the key properties of the ε -subdifferential used on Rockafellar proof is the fact, proved by Brøndsted and Rockafellar [3], that points at $\partial_\varepsilon f$ are close to ∂f , and this distance can be estimated. This property is known as Brøndsted-Rockafellar property of the ε -subdifferential. Although created by Brøndsted and Rockafellar for theoretical purposes, the ε -subdifferential has extensive practical applications in convex optimization [33, 34, 11, 22, 19].

If $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone, then inclusion on T may be characterized by a family of inequalities:

$$(x, x^*) \in T \iff \left(\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall (y, y^*) \in T \right).$$

Martinez-Legaz and Thera [23] observed that the above inequality could be relaxed, in order to define an enlargement of T . Burachik, Iusem and Svaiter proposed the T^ε enlargement [6] as follows: for $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$(x, x^*) \in T^\varepsilon \iff \left(\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq -\varepsilon, \forall (y, y^*) \in T \right). \quad (1)$$

The T^ε enlargement has many similarities to the ε -subdifferential proposed by Brøndsted and Rockafellar [3]. For example, in the interior of the domain of T , for ε bounded away from 0, the mapping

$$(x, \varepsilon) \mapsto T^\varepsilon(x) = \{x^* \mid (x, x^*) \in T^\varepsilon\}$$

is locally Lipschitz continuous, with respect to the Hausdorff metric. This enlargement also satisfy (in reflexive spaces) a property similar to the Brøndsted-Rockafellar property of the ε -subdifferential. Beside that, the T^ε enlargement has also theoretical [26, 25, 21] and algorithmic applications [28, 8, 7, 29, 20, 30, 24]. For a survey in the subject, see [5].

Our aim is to investigate those maximal monotone operators $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ which are “non-enlargeable”, that is,

$$T^\varepsilon = T, \quad \forall \varepsilon \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

This question has been previously addressed by Burachik and Iusem [4] and the present work is inspired in that article of Burachik and Iusem.

It shall be noted that the T^ε enlargement is one among a family of enlargements, defined and studied on [31]. These enlargements share some basic properties and T^ε is the biggest element in this family. Moreover, if T happens to be the subdifferential of some convex function f , then the ε -subdifferential of f also belongs to this family and the inclusion

$$\partial_\varepsilon f \subset (\partial f)^\varepsilon$$

is proper, in general.

The T^ε enlargement is closely tied to the Fitzpatrick function, which we discuss next. To honor Fitzpatrick, we shall use φ , the Greek “f”, to denote Fitzpatrick function [12] associated with a maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$:

$$\varphi_T(x, x^*) = \sup_{(y, y^*) \in T} \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x^* \rangle - \langle y, y^* \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Observe that φ_T is convex, lower semicontinuous on the $w \times w^*$ topology of $X \times X^*$ and

$$\varphi_T(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad T = \{(x, x^*) \mid \varphi_T(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}. \quad (4)$$

The above inequality is a generalization of Fenchel-Young inequality. Indeed if f is a proper convex lower semicontinuous function on X , then

$$f(x) + f^*(x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \partial f = \{(x, x^*) \mid f(x) + f^*(x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}.$$

So defining f , defining the Fenchel-Young function associated with f ,

$$h_{FY} : X \times X^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}, \quad h_{FY}(x, x^*) = f(x) + f(x^*), \quad (5)$$

we have a convex function bounded below by the duality product and equal to it at ∂f . Fitzpatrick proved that associated with each maximal monotone operator T there is a family of functions with these properties and that φ_T is the minimal element of this family. Brøndsted and Rockafellar observed that the ε -subdifferential can be characterized by the function h_{FY} :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon f &= \{(x, x^*) \mid f(x) + f^*(x^*) \leq \langle x, x^* \rangle + \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{(x, x^*) \mid h_{FY}(x, x^*) \leq \langle x, x^* \rangle + \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Likewise, it is trivial to check that φ_T characterizes the T^ε enlargement of a maximal monotone $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$:

$$T^\varepsilon = \{(x, x^*) \mid \varphi_T(x, x^*) \leq \langle x, x^* \rangle + \varepsilon\}. \quad (7)$$

Given a maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, Fitzpatrick defined [12] the family $\mathcal{F}_T$ as those convex, lower semicontinuous functions in $X \times X^*$ which are bounded below by the duality product and coincide with it at T :

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \left\{ h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*} \left| \begin{array}{l} h \text{ is convex and lower semicontinuous} \\ \langle x, x^* \rangle \leq h(x, x^*), \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \\ (x, x^*) \in T \Rightarrow h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Fitzpatrick proved that φ_T belongs to this family and it is its minimal element. Moreover, he also proved that if $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$ then h represents T in the following sense:

$$(x, x^*) \in T \iff h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle.$$

For the case of the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function f , defining h_{FY} as (5),

$$h_{FY} \in \mathcal{F}_{\partial f}.$$

Moreover, h_{FY} is separable. It would be most desirable to find separable elements in $\mathcal{F}_T$. Unfortunately, this family has a separable element if and only if T is a subdifferential [10]. Another interesting property of h_{FY} is that this function is a fixed point of the mapping

$$\mathcal{J} : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}, \quad \mathcal{J}g(x, x^*) = g^*(x^*, x).$$

Burachik and Svaiter observed the $\mathcal{F}_T$ is invariant under $\mathcal{J}$ [9] and Svaiter proved that there always exist a fixed point of $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{F}_T$ [32]. These fixed points has meet some applications in the study of PDE'S under the attractive name "self-dual" [14, 13, 18, 15, 17, 16] in the pioneering works of Ghoussoub.

2 Non-enlargeable operators

Direct use of (7) shows that problem (2) is equivalent to finding those maximal monotone operators T such that

$$ed(\varphi_T) = T. \quad (9)$$

It has been recently proved in [1] and in [2], independently, that if a maximal monotone is convex, then it is an affine subspace of $X \times X^*$. As φ_T is convex, the above condition implies that T is convex. Therefore, we can reduce our problem to finding those maximal monotone operators which are affine subspaces and satisfy (2).

If $T \subset X \times X^*$ and $(x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*$, defining

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= T - \{(x_0, x_0^*)\} \\ &= \{(x - x_0, x^* - x_0^*) \mid (x, x^*) \in T\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(T_0)^\varepsilon = T^\varepsilon - \{(x_0, x_0^*)\}. \quad (10)$$

So, we can restrict our attention to those maximal monotone operators which are subspaces of $X \times X^*$ and satisfy (2), and the general case will be obtained by translations of these subspaces.

Define, for $B \subset X \times X^*$

$$B^\perp = \{(y, y^*) \mid \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x^* \rangle = 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in B\}. \quad (11)$$

Note that $B^\perp$ can be written in terms of the annihilator of a family in $(X \times X^*)^*$:

$$B^\perp = {}^a\{(x^*, x) \mid (x, x^*) \in B\}$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $T \subset X \times X^*$ is maximal monotone and a subspace, then*

1. $T^\perp \subset \{(x, x^*) \mid \varphi_T(x, x^*) = 0\}$,
2. $T \cap T^\perp = T \cap \{(x, x^*) \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = 0\}$.

Proof. To prove item 1, take $(x, x^*) \in T^\perp$. As $(0, 0) \in T$, for any $(y, y^*) \in T$, $\langle y, y^* \rangle \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_T(x, x^*) &= \sup_{(y, y^*) \in T} \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x^* \rangle - \langle y, y^* \rangle \\ &= \sup_{(y, y^*)} -\langle y, y^* \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

To prove item 2, first use item 1 to obtain

$$T \cap T^\perp \subset T \cap \{(x, x^*) \mid \varphi_T(x, x^*) = 0\}.$$

As $\varphi_T(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle$ for any $(x, x^*) \in T$, we conclude

$$T \cap T^\perp \subset T \cap \{(x, x^*) \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = 0\}.$$

To prove the other inclusion, take $(x, x^*) \in T \cap \{(x, x^*) \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = 0\}$. As T is a subspace, if $(y, y^*) \in T$, then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lambda(x, x^*) + (y, y^*) \in T.$$

As $(0, 0) \in T$,

$$\langle \lambda x + y, \lambda x^* + y^* \rangle = \lambda[\langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x^* \rangle] + \langle y, y \rangle \geq 0.$$

As λ is arbitrary, we conclude that the expression inside the brackets must be 0. $\square$

It is interesting to observe that $\langle x, x^* \rangle$ is non-linear and non-convex in (x, x^*) . Even though, the points at T where this expression vanish is a subspace, which may be empty also.

We will be concerned with a special type of linear point-to set operators

Definition 2.1. *An operator $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is **self-cancelling** if A is a subspace and*

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in A.$$

This definition is an extension of the definition of skew-symmetric operators of Burachik and Iusem [4] and of the definition of skew linear of Bauschke, Wang and Yau [2]. The relations between these classes will be discussed in the Section 3.

Lemma 2.2. *If $A \subset X \times X^*$ is self-cancelling, then $A \subset A^\perp$.*

Proof. Take $(x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in A$. Then, $(x + y, x^* + y^*) \in A$ and so

$$\langle x + y, x^* + y^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle + \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle y, y^* \rangle = 0.$$

To end the proof, note that $\langle x, x^* \rangle = \langle y, y^* \rangle = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *If $A \subset X \times X^*$ is self-cancelling and $A^\perp$ is maximal monotone then, for any $(x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*$, the operator*

$$T = A^\perp + \{(x_0, x_0^*)\}$$

is non-enlargeable, or equivalently, $ed(\varphi_T) = T$.

Proof. In view of (10), it suffices to prove this lemma for $(x_0, x_0^*) = 0$. In that case, if $(x, x^*) \notin A^\perp$, there exists $(y, y^*) \in A$ such that

$$\langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x^* \rangle \neq 0.$$

As A is a subspace and $A \subset A^\perp$,

$$\varphi_{A^\perp}(x, x^*) \geq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \langle x, \lambda y^* \rangle + \langle \lambda y, x^* \rangle - \langle \lambda y, \lambda y^* \rangle = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \langle x, \lambda y^* \rangle + \langle \lambda y, x^* \rangle.$$

Combining the above equation we obtain $\varphi_{A^\perp}(x, x^*) \geq \infty$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *If X is reflexive then T maximal monotone is non-enlargeable, if and only if there exists a self-cancelling A and $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ such that $A^\perp$ is maximal monotone and*

$$T = A^\perp + \{(x, x^*)\}$$

Moreover, if T is non-enlargeable and $(x, x^*) \in T$, then the maximal A satisfying the above condition is

$$(T - (x, x^*))^\perp.$$

Proof. First assume that T is non-enlargeable and $(0, 0) \in T$. Define

$$A = T^\perp$$

Using Lemma 2.1, item 1, we conclude that $\varphi_T(x, x^*) = 0$ for all $(x, x^*) \in A$. As $\text{ed}(\varphi_T) = T$, we conclude that $A \subset T$. Therefore,

$$A = T \cap A.$$

Combining the above equation with the definition of A and Lemma 2.1, item 2, we conclude that A is self-cancelling. Moreover A is the maximal self-cancelling operator contained in T . As T is a closed subspace and X is reflexive, direct use of Hahn-Banach yields

$$T = (T^\perp)^\perp = A^\perp.$$

Note also that the above defined A is maximal in the family

$$\{B \subset X \times X^* \mid T = B^\perp\}$$

Conversely, if for some self-cancelling A , $T = A^\perp$, then according to Lemma 2.3 T is non-enlargeable.

The general case follows now using (10). $\square$

3 On maximal monotone operators obtained self-cancelling operators

Now we shall analyze those maximal monotone operators discussed in Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 3.1. *If $A \subset X \times X^*$ is self-cancelling and $A^\perp$ is monotone, then $A^\perp$ is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Take $(x_0, x_0^*) \notin A^\perp$. Then there exists $(y, y^*) \in A$ such that

$$\langle x_0, y \rangle + \langle y, x_0^* \rangle \neq 0.$$

Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\langle x_0 + \lambda y, x_0^* + \lambda y^* \rangle = \langle x_0, x_0^* \rangle + \lambda[\langle x_0, y^* \rangle + \langle y, x_0^* \rangle].$$

Combining the two above equations with the fact that A is a subspace, we conclude that $\{(x_0, x_0^*)\} \cup A$ is not monotone. $\square$

Observe that in Lemma 2.1, the maximal monotone operator T may not be on the family of $A^\perp$ with A self-cancelling.

Lemma 3.2. *If A is self-cancelling and $A^\perp$ is monotone, then*

$$\tilde{A} = \underset{w \times w^*}{\text{cl}} A = \underset{s \times w^*}{\text{cl}} A$$

is a maximal element of the family of self-cancelling operators, where $\text{cl}_{w \times w^*}$ and $\text{cl}_{s \times w^*}$ denotes the closure in the weak $\times$ weak- $*$ and strong $\times$ weak- $*$ topologies, respectively.

Proof. Suppose that $B = [\tilde{A} \cup \{x_0, x_0^*\}]$ is antisymmetric. In that case,

$$B^\perp \subset A^\perp = (\tilde{A})^\perp.$$

In particular, $B^\perp$ is monotone. Hence $B^\perp$ is maximal monotone and the above inclusion holds as an equality. As $\tilde{A}$ is $w \times w^*$ closed, $(x_0, x_0^*) \in \tilde{A}$. $\square$

A natural question is whether $A^\perp$ is maximal monotone whenever A is maximal self-cancelling. Up to now we have a partial answer to this question.

Lemma 3.3. *If $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal self-cancelling, the $A^\perp$ or $-A^\perp$ is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Recall that $-A = \{(x, -x^*) \mid (x, x^*) \in A\}$ so that

$$(-A)^\perp = -(A^\perp),$$

Take $(x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in A^\perp$. Suppose that

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle > 0, \quad \langle y, y^* \rangle < 0. \quad (12)$$

Then, for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$

$$z_\theta = \theta x + (1 - \theta)y, \quad z_\theta^* = \theta x^* + (1 - \theta)y^*.$$

satisfy

$$\langle z_\theta, z_\theta^* \rangle = 0$$

Hence, $(z_\theta, z_\theta^*) \in A$ and $\langle z_\theta, y^* \rangle + \langle y, z_\theta^* \rangle = 0$. Direct use of the definition of z_θ, z_θ^* gives

$$\theta(x, x^*) = (z_\theta, z_\theta^*) - (1 - \theta)(y, y^*),$$

which readily implies

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^2 \langle x, x^* \rangle &= \langle z_\theta - (1 - \theta)y, z_\theta^* - (1 - \theta)y^* \rangle \\ &= (1 - \theta)^2 \langle y, y^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

in contradiction with (12). Therefore (12) can not hold for $(x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in A^\perp$ and $A^\perp$ or $-A^\perp$ is monotone. Maximal monotonicity of $A^\perp$ or $-A^\perp$ now follows from Lemma 3.1 $\square$

Working in the setting of reflexive Banach spaces, Burachik and Iusem [4] defined a skew-symmetric operator as a linear continuous operator $L : X \rightarrow X^*$ such that

$$L = -L^*$$

where L^* is the adjoint of L . As $L^* : X^{**} \rightarrow X^*$, it is natural to consider, in a reflexive Banach space, $L^* : X \rightarrow X^*$. In that case, L^* is defined as

$$\langle Lx, y \rangle = \langle x, L^*y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Note that $L^* = (-L)^\perp$. Bauschke, Wang and Yao [2], still working in a reflexive Banach spaces, extended this definition of adjoint to an arbitrary linear point-to-set operator $L : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ as $L^* = (-L)^\perp$. For these authors, a point to set operator $L : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is skew if it is linear and $L = -L^*$. It is trivial to verify that a skew-symmetric operator (in the sense of [4]) is always a skew operator (in the sense on [2]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $M : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be a linear point to set operator.*

1. *If M is a skew operator, then it is maximal self-cancelling.*
2. *If M is maximal self-cancelling and $D(M)$ is closed then it is skew.*
3. *If M is maximal self-cancelling, $R(M)$ is closed and X is reflexive, then it is skew.*

Proof. To prove item 1, suppose that M is skew. Then, M is self-cancelling. If A is self-cancelling and $M \subset A$, then using Lemma 2.2 and (11) we have

$$A \subset A^\perp \subset M.$$

Therefore, $A = M$.

To prove item 2, suppose that M is maximal self-cancelling. Take

$$(x_0, x_0^*) \in M^\perp$$

If $x_0 \notin D(M)$, then there exists y^* such that

$$\langle x, y^* \rangle = 0, \quad \forall x \in D(M), \quad \langle x_0, y^* \rangle \neq 0.$$

In that case, $(0, y^*) \in M$ and $\langle x_0, y^* \rangle + \langle 0, x_0^* \rangle \neq 0$, in contradiction with the assumption $(x_0, x_0^*) \in M^\perp$. Hence, $x_0 \in D(A)$ and there exists z^* such that $(x_0, z^*) \in M$. Therefore,

$$(x_0, x_0^*) - (x_0, z^*) \in M^\perp.$$

To simplify the notation, let $u^* = x_0^* - z^*$. We have just proved that $(0, u^*) \in M^\perp$. Let

$$V = \text{span}(M \cup \{(0, u^*)\}).$$

If $(x, x^*) \in M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\langle x, x^* + \lambda u^* \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle + \lambda \langle x, u^* \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, V is self-cancelling. As M is maximal self-cancelling,

$$(0, u^*) = (x_0, x_0^*) - (x_0, z^*) \in M,$$

and $(x_0, x_0^*) \in M$. Altogether, using also Lemma 2.2 we have

$$M \subset M^\perp \subset M$$

and so M is skew.

Item 3 follows from item 2, applied to $X' = X^*$ and

$$M' = \{(x^*, x) \mid (x, x^*) \in M\}.$$

□

4 Acknowledgments

The author thanks M. Marques Alves for the reading of the first draft of this work and for the positive criticism and advises.

References

- [1] M. Marques Alves and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotone operators with a unique extension to the bidual. *arXiv.org*, arXiv:0805.4604:1–16, 2008.
- [2] Heinz H. Bauschke, Xianfu Wang, and Liangjin Yao. Monotone linear relations: Maximality and fitzpatrick functions. *arXiv.org*, arXiv:0805.4256v1:1–14, 2008.
- [3] A. Brøndsted and R. T. Rockafellar. On the subdifferentiability of convex functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16:605–611, 1965.
- [4] R. S. Burachik and A. N. Iusem. On non-enlargeable and fully enlargeable monotone operators. *J. Convex Anal.*, 13(3-4):603–622, 2006.
- [5] Regina S. Burachik and Alfredo N. Iusem. *Set-valued mappings and enlargements of monotone operators*, volume 8 of *Springer Optimization and Its Applications*. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [6] Regina S. Burachik, Alfredo N. Iusem, and B. F. Svaiter. Enlargement of monotone operators with applications to variational inequalities. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 5(2):159–180, 1997.

- [7] Regina S. Burachik, Claudia Sagastizábal, and B. F. Svaiter. Bundle methods for maximal monotone operators. In *Ill-posed variational problems and regularization techniques (Trier, 1998)*, volume 477 of *Lecture Notes in Econom. and Math. Systems*, pages 49–64. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [8] Regina S. Burachik, Claudia A. Sagastizábal, and B. F. Svaiter. ϵ -enlargements of maximal monotone operators: theory and applications. In *Reformulation: nonsmooth, piecewise smooth, semismooth and smoothing methods (Lausanne, 1997)*, volume 22 of *Appl. Optim.*, pages 25–43. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [9] Regina Sandra Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotone operators, convex functions and a special family of enlargements. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 10(4):297–316, 2002.
- [10] Regina Sandra Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotonicity, conjugation and the duality product. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(8):2379–2383 (electronic), 2003.
- [11] Rafael Correa and Claude Lemaréchal. Convergence of some algorithms for convex minimization. *Math. Programming*, 62(2, Ser. B):261–275, 1993.
- [12] Simon Fitzpatrick. Representing monotone operators by convex functions. In *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988)*, volume 20 of *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 59–65. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988.
- [13] Nassif Ghoussoub. A theory of anti-selfdual Lagrangians: dynamical case. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(4):325–330, 2005.
- [14] Nassif Ghoussoub. A theory of anti-selfdual Lagrangians: stationary case. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(3):245–250, 2005.
- [15] Nassif Ghoussoub. Anti-self-dual Lagrangians: variational resolutions of non-self-adjoint equations and dissipative evolutions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 24(2):171–205, 2007.
- [16] Nassif Ghoussoub. Superposition of selfdual functionals in non-homogeneous boundary value problems and differential systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 21(1):187–220, 2008.
- [17] Nassif Ghoussoub and Abbas Moameni. Selfdual variational principles for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian and other dynamical systems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(4-6):771–795, 2007.
- [18] Nassif Ghoussoub and Leo Tzou. Iterations of anti-selfdual Lagrangians and applications to Hamiltonian systems and multiparameter gradient flows. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 26(4):511–534, 2006.

- [19] Jean-Baptiste Hiriart-Urruty and Claude Lemaréchal. *Convex analysis and minimization algorithms. II*, volume 306 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. Advanced theory and bundle methods.
- [20] Alfredo N. Iusem and Luis R. Lucambio Pérez. An extragradient-type algorithm for non-smooth variational inequalities. *Optimization*, 48(3):309–332, 2000.
- [21] V. Jeyakumar, M. Théra, and Z. Y. Wu. Asymptotic sums of monotone operators. *Pac. J. Optim.*, 2(3):591–598, 2006.
- [22] Claude Lemaréchal, Arkadii Nemirovskii, and Yurii Nesterov. New variants of bundle methods. *Math. Programming*, 69(1, Ser. B):111–147, 1995. Nondifferentiable and large-scale optimization (Geneva, 1992).
- [23] Juan-Enrique Martinez-Legaz and Michel Théra. ϵ -subdifferentials in terms of subdifferentials. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 4(4):327–332, 1996.
- [24] A. Moudafi and E. Elisabeth. An approximate inertial proximal method using the enlargement of a maximal monotone operator. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5(3):283–299, 2003.
- [25] Julian P. Revalski and Michel Théra. Variational and extended sums of monotone operators. In *Ill-posed variational problems and regularization techniques (Trier, 1998)*, volume 477 of *Lecture Notes in Econom. and Math. Systems*, pages 229–246. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [26] Julian P. Revalski and Michel Théra. Enlargements and sums of monotone operators. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 48(4, Ser. A: Theory Methods):505–519, 2002.
- [27] R. T. Rockafellar. On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings. *Pacific J. Math.*, 33:209–216, 1970.
- [28] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter. A hybrid approximate extragradient-proximal point algorithm using the enlargement of a maximal monotone operator. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 7(4):323–345, 1999.
- [29] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter. Error bounds for proximal point subproblems and associated inexact proximal point algorithms. *Math. Program.*, 88(2, Ser. B):371–389, 2000. Error bounds in mathematical programming (Kowloon, 1998).
- [30] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter. A unified framework for some inexact proximal point algorithms. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 22(7-8):1013–1035, 2001.
- [31] B. F. Svaiter. A family of enlargements of maximal monotone operators. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 8(4):311–328, 2000.

- [32] B. F. Svaiter. Fixed points in the family of convex representations of a maximal monotone operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(12):3851–3859 (electronic), 2003.
- [33] Lionel Thibault. On subdifferentials of optimal value functions. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 29(5):1019–1036, 1991.
- [34] Lionel Thibault. Sequential convex subdifferential calculus and sequential Lagrange multipliers. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 35(4):1434–1444, 1997.